



UNITED STATE... DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE BAYER8890.1L L., HEUER 11/14/94 08/338,356 ROBINSONEXAMINER 12M2/0519 PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** LEONARD HORN SPRUNG HORN KRAMER AND WOODS 660 WHITE PLAINS ROAD 1209 TARRYTOWN NY 10591-5144 05/19/95 **DATE MAILED:** This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Responsive to communication filed on 4/10/95 This application has been examined days from the date of this letter. _ month(s), _ A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474... Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 7 and 9-11 are pending in the application. 1. Claims____ Of the above, claims ______ are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims 3. Claims 4. Claims 7 and 9-11 5. Claims _____ are objected to. 6. Claims ______ are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. _. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on ___ are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on ______. has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed ______ has been approved; addisapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received □ been filed in parent application, serial no. ______; filed on _____ 13. [] Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

Serial No. 08/338,356

Art Unit 1209

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first and second paragraphs, as the claimed invention is not described in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the same, and/or for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 10 and 11 are improper claims in claiming products that merely contain the claimed active ingredient.

Claims 7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Schaub (A) in view of Ludwig et al. (A') and European Patent 0,393,746, all of record and for reasons of record as set forth in paper number 15, pages 3 and 4, regarding the rejection of claims 7-11.

Applicants' arguments and the declaration by Dr. Martin Kugler have been carefully considered; however, they are not deemed persuasive. The Schaub reference clearly teaches that the claim designated triazole is an old fungicide, effective against fungi in the same class. (Ascomycetes, Deuteromycetes, Basidiomycetes). The secondary references are cited to further show that the specific fungi of these classes of fungi are old. (See page 4, lines 14-28 of

Art Unit 1209

the European Patent). The declaration by Dr. Kugler is noted, but not deemed persuasive to overcome the above rejection. The declaration only show that the claimed cyproconazole possesses fungicidal activity. This property is well known. Therefore, the above rejection is deemed proper and adhered to.

-3-

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Art Unit 1209

A facsimile center has been established in Group 1200, room 3C10. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier numbers for accessing the facsimile machines are (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592.

ALLEN J. ROBINSON

COUPTINARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1200

ROBINSON:jd May 18, 1995